

by Zahir Ebrahim

Written April 26, 2008 - Revised May 2, 2008.

Douglas J. Feith, Undersecretary of Defense for Policy, in his Hearing before the Senate Armed Services Committee on February 14, 2002 in the aftermath of 9/11, explaining the rationale for the Department of Defence 2002 Nuclear Posture Review, summarized the overarching reasons for “new thinking” by the United States as the unchallenged sole superpower in the following words:

'A half a century ago, in the midst of the Cold War, Prime Minister Winston Churchill noted in the House of Commons the “sublime irony” that in the nuclear age, “safety will be the sturdy child of terror and survival the twin brother of annihilation.” The Cold War is long over and new approaches to defense are overdue. As President Bush has stated, “We are no longer divided into armed camps, locked in a careful balance of terror....Our times call for new thinking.”'

In essence, and as has been empirically evident over the past seven years, what Douglas Feith was arguing before the United States Congress in the euphemistically disguised verbiage of “new thinking”, was that the “careful balance of terror” must now be replaced by unfettered and uninhibited unilateral terror!

As his intellectual mentor Zbigniew Brzezinski had previously argued during the Clinton era in 1997, the goals for American primacy and its geostrategic imperatives had to be to “perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer” such that “no Eurasian challenger emerges, capable of dominating Eurasia and thus also challenging America”.

For it was indeed the first time in the history of civilizations and its conquistadors that America had become “the first, only, and last truly global superpower”. It was deemed a terrible waste of hegemonic bank balance to not capitalize on it while there was still time to do so, before other nations of the East and Eurasia eventually caught up to America's lead in about a generation and forced another D tente.

The Project for the New American Century (PNAC), that had seeded the majority of Bush Administration officials beginning in 2000 – from Vice President to Secretary of Defence to a majority of Under Secretaries – too had argued in its report on Rebuilding America's Defences that seeking “full spectrum dominance” to maintain “America's preeminence” and “American Peace” in the world by forcing other nations to accept “America's unique role in preserving and extending an international order friendly to our security, our prosperity, and our principles”, was a natural American imperative as per the Brzezinski truism “Hegemony is as old as mankind.”

And if achieving “full spectrum” primacy meant inflicting “shock and awe” of unilateral terror on contrived mobilizing pretexts, so be it – as which nation could now stand in the path of the mighty 'hectoring hegemons'?

But there was indeed an impediment to the realization of this “new thinking”, and to the enormous increase in the defence budget needed to support it, as explained by Zbigniew

Brzezinski. It was the darn “populist democracy” of the sole superpower!

Fighting in far-away lands is quite “uncongenial to democratic instincts” noted Brzezinski, as the “economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort” makes “democracy ... inimical to imperial mobilization.”

Therefore, a commensurate lifetime of “War on Terrorism” was architected against a well formulated never-ending enemy – the “islamofascists” – in a Machiavellianly seeded doctrine of the “Clash of Civilizations”. Bernard Lewis had artfully constructed that bit of magic in Foreign Affairs magazine in 1990 as the “irrational ... roots of Muslim rage ... [which] is no less than a clash of civilizations”. In the aftermath of 9/11/2001, it became the self-fulfilling policy of the overtly exuded foreign policy calculus of the United States, and was continually replenished by more priceless doctrinal state-craft from the mighty pen of Bernard Lewis, like 'Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror'! And of course, the doctrinal flames are still fanned periodically by the various circus clowns of empire, like the printing of offensive cartoons by a confrere of Daniel Pipes. It egregiously insulted the Prophet of Islam to further stimulate a reaction among the Muslims that could only be classified as “[not even] a Clash of Civilizations [but a] Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”.

In order to get the ball rolling ab initio, to both seed the “military transformation” necessary to capitalize on America being “the first, only, and last truly global superpower”, and to embark on the “American peace” mission that called for “new thinking” of forcing other nations to accept “America's unique role”, the “populist democracy” needed a shocker: a “catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor”.

Well, 911 was that “new Pearl Harbor”!

Whether 19 “islamofascist” jihadis on their flying carpets controlled by a mind-melding yoda master sitting on his bare rump armed with laptops and cell phones in a cave in the Hindu-Kush did it, or whether it was an expertly orchestrated, precision planned and executed controlled demolition, is a moot point. For it was an 'operation canned goods' all the way in terms of providing the enabling pretext for “imperial mobilization” on the proverbial screen of Plato's Mythical Cave! Whoever did it was, and still is, a resourceful confrere and great benefactor of the conquistadors!

But that shocking event in itself could have easily been still-born, as it could have just as easily been dealt with as a great crime and not a cause célèbre for massive invasion of other nations. The crime scene could have been secured and a forensic analysis of the evidence performed to understand how did three tall towers miraculously collapse within the span of a few hours in a single day into exactly their own footprints at almost free-fall speed.

Zbigniew Brzezinski had astutely observed of this “populist democracy”, that:

“...as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to

fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.”

Yes indeed, along with 911, the secret sauce to the recipe, so to speak, was, and still continues to be, “a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification”!

In other words, without fully conditioned 'Prisoners of the Cave', no amount of pyrotechnics would have worked because a free public and its democratic institutions, the law makers in Congress and the influential newsmedia, could have easily demanded a forensic analysis of the crime scene rather than trivially acquiesce to a rush to passing oppressive domestic laws like the Patriot Acts without any discussion and hasty recourse to “Infinite Justice” with even less evidence.

The United States public had to be so dexterously primed with the multi-faceted mantras crafted of the “sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”, that today, seven years later, its successes can be measured all the way to several Nobel Prizes in Profound Political Machinations (PPM – if such an accolade for hegemonic conquest was to be split off from the Nobel Peace Prize). The latest evidence for such a prize accumulating to the Bush Administration in spades is in the public survey by Gallup Poll released on March 31, 2008, which states: “Iran topped the list, with 25 percent naming it when asked which country is the greatest U.S. enemy.”. And most Americans, the vast majority, and certainly most of the 25 percent in the above survey, have likely never met an Iranian person, or anyone from any of the exotic regions from where the ubiquitous boogiemens are being fashioned!

This criminal priming of the “populist democracy” now naturally enables “the first, only, and last truly global superpower” to be fully “autocratic abroad” in its unlimited “capacity for military intimidation”. Note how Brzezinski concludes the primacy aspirations of the truly global superpower:

“Geostrategic success in that cause would represent a fitting legacy of America's role as the first, only, and last truly global superpower.”

And it is precisely in that overarching “Geostrategic ... cause”, that Iran and Pakistan are today imminently staged on the nuclear chopping block to seed the “Geostrategic success”, while some American city is made expendable to create the next “catalyzing event” for continuing “imperial mobilization” that “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”.

All conveniently enabled by the continued Orwellian use of the fiction of 'Bin Laden' and its various surrogates including 'Al Qaeda'. If these stick-wielding cave-dwelling antediluvians possess such awesome powers as to bring down the sole superpower and its Western allies to their knees forcing the leader to spend 3.1 trillion dollars next year a majority of it on defense, and the Western peoples to also lose all their democratic freedoms and civil liberties to end up

living in police-states, then the 'Bin Laden' mantra is surely more powerful than the USSR! Or at least, it makes a wonderful 'Ali Baba' bedtime story.

So participate in the story telling of 'Ali Baba' all you want dear Pakistanis – because the endgame of Pakistan's ruling elite in their fictional of “war on terror” appears to be the same as the hectoring hegemons! As the latter destroys the United States to craft a borderless New World Order laying many a million victims in its path, the new amorphous empire's gratitude await many a servile clients and native informants for services rendered! Or perhaps the hangman's noose!

Indeed, this “new thinking”, it turns out, isn't terribly new after all. It was discovered, for those still in possession of memory, to already have been defined as the “supreme international crime” at the Nuremberg Military Tribunals by the United States' own Chief Prosecutor, Justice Robert H. Jackson. A crime so stupendous and monumental “differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”, that the Nazi war machine was held responsible for “all the evil that follows” in the aftermath of the first primal aggression! The Nazis had invaded Poland on the heels of their own Operation Canned Goods too, in the pretext of 'self-defense' against 'terrorists'! And in handing their leadership death sentences, the American Chief Prosecutor had asserted:

“If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.”

And that, as they say, is all there is to this “new thinking”. It isn't exactly clear why the Americans and much of the world appear so confused about something so palpably obvious.

Indeed, this unilateral terror ideology of “new thinking” was even aptly summed up by Vladimir Putin, President of Russia, in these laconic but penetrating words in an unusual moment of candor in 2007:

“what is a unipolar world? However one might embellish this term, at the end of the day it refers to one type of situation, namely one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making. It is world in which there is one master, one sovereign. And at the end of the day this is pernicious not only for all those within this system, but also for the sovereign itself because it destroys itself from within.”

Yes sir, give us ordinary peoples – those who are continually made to suffer this “new thinking” – the “sublime irony” of being “locked in a careful balance of terror” any day!

And that, is also the only rational way out from crossing the nuclear Rubicon!

Unless Russia and China get their act together, unless Pakistan wakes up from its suicidal slumber, and they unite to safeguard the Asian portion of Eurasia in a mutual defense treaty similar to NATO, nuclear holocaust awaits the 'lesser' humanity. Perhaps this is how population reduction is ultimately planned amidst orchestrated food shortages as per some secret clauses

that haven't yet been declassified in NSSM 200 and NSDM 314 (or in some other undisclosed National Security Strategy calculus derivatives).

If these resource and population rich Asian nations don't band together now like the EU is banding together into a unified federation of Europe with common laws and common security, and like the North American Union that is clandestinely transpiring under the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP), after the nuclear genie is unleashed and the United States is under martial law, the barbarians will be knocking at each of the other doors one after another – for total perpetual war is the blatantly obvious global agenda to “birth-pang” the New World Order out of the ashes of humanity!

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at

<http://>

[PrisonersoftheCave.org](http://PrisonersoftheCave.org)

.

He may be reached at

<http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>

.